

## **REMARKS**

### **COMMENTS ON THE AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

5

Only claim 90 has been amended, the amendment being to present the alternatives as members of a Markush group of the type recommended by MPEP 2173.05(h). It is submitted that the amendment makes no substantial difference to the meaning of claim 90, but is desirable for consistency with the wording of claim 32. For

10

the sake of the record, it is noted that the Examiner left a telephone message for the undersigned stating that he was proposing to amend claim 90 in the way set out in the Examiner's Amendment, and that when the undersigned returned the Examiner's call, it was too late to make the amendment now requested.

## COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

The Examiner's statement of reasons for amounts is as follows.

*None of the cited prior art documents discloses applicants' additives or repeating units.*

For the sake of completeness, it should be noted that there have been numerous rejections, and that those rejections have been withdrawn for the reasons set out in the file history.

Respectfully submitted



T. H. P. Richardson,

Reg. No.28,805, Tel No. 650 854 6304

